

Coefficient Estimates and Fekete- Szegő Inequality for a Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by Symmetric Q-Derivative Operator by Using Faber Polynomial Techniques

G. Saravanan¹, Muthunagai. K²

^{1,2}School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University, Chennai - 600 127, Tamil Nadu, India.

¹Present Address: Department of Mathematics , Patrician College of Arts and Science, Adyar, Chennai-600020, Tamil Nadu, India.

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jan 12th, 2018

Revised Apr 20th, 2018

Accepted May 26th, 2018

Keyword:

Bi-univalent
Faber Polynomials
Symmetric Q-Derivative
Fekete- Szegő problem

ABSTRACT

In this article we have defined a subclass of Bi-univalent functions using symmetric q- derivative operator and estimated the bounds for the coefficients using Faber polynomial techniques. We also have obtained the bounds for the linear functional which is popularly known as Fekete- Szegő problem.

Correspondng Author:

G. Saravanan,
School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University,
Chennai - 600 127, Tamil Nadu, India.
Present Address: Department of Mathematics ,
Patrician College of Arts and Science, Adyar,
Chennai-600020, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: gsaran825@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of all normalized functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

Which are analytic in the Unit disk U.

A function that is regular (holomorphic) in U is said to be univalent in U if it assumes no value more than once in U. Denote by S, the subclass of A, of all univalent functions in U.

For $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ analytic in U, we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written, $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ with $w(z) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in U such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. That is if the range of one holomorphic function is contained in that of the second and these functions agree at a single point, then a sharp comparison of these two functions can be made.

The problem of finding sharp bounds for the linear functional $|a_3 - \zeta a_2^2|$ of any compact family of functions is popularly known as the Fekete-Szegő problem. This coefficient functional on the normalized analytic

functions in the unit disk represents various geometric quantities. For example, for $\zeta = 1$, the functional represents Schwarzian derivative, which plays a significant role in the theory of univalent functions, conformal mapping and hypergeometric functions.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in U , if $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ and its inverse has an analytic continuation to $|w| < 1$. The class of all bi-univalent functions is denoted by Σ .

The concept of bi-univalent functions was introduced by Lewin [18] who proved that if $f(z)$ is bi-univalent, then $|a_2| < 1.51$. Brannan and Clunie [10] improved Lewin's result to $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. There is a rich literature on the estimates of the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions (see [11, 13, 16, 24, 25, 26]). However not much is known about the estimates of higher coefficients. It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an

inverse f^{-1} , satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, (z \in U)$ and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right)$, where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (2)$$

Let K be simply connected, compact set in the Complex plane. Let h be analytic on K . It is possible to approximate h by polynomials uniformly on K called Faber polynomials, introduced by Faber [12]. These polynomials play an important role in geometric function theory.

A detailed discussion about Faber polynomial expansion for functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of the form has been carried out in [[1], [2], [3]].

Geometric function theory provides a platform to have a multiple dimensional view on the different subclasses of analytic functions with help of q -calculus which is an effective tool of investigation. For example, the theory of q -calculus is used to describe the extension of the theory of univalent functions. For basic definitions, applications, terminologies, geometric properties and approximation one can refer [[5], [8], [9], [14], [17], [19], [20], [21]]. Let us suppose $0 < q < 1$ throughout this paper.

Definition. 1

The q -derivative of a function f is defined on a subset of \mathbb{C} is given by

$$(D_q f)(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}, \text{ if } z \neq 0,$$

and $(D_q f)(0) = f'(0)$ provided $f'(0)$ exists.

Note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} (D_q f)(z) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = f'(z)$$

if f is differentiable. From (1), we have

$$(D_q f)(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}.$$

Where the symbol $[n]_q$ denotes the number

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}$$

Definition. 2

The symmetric q -derivative $\tilde{D}_q f$ of a function f given by (1) is defined as follows:

$$(\tilde{D}_q f)(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(q^{-1}z)}{(q - q^{-1})z}, \text{ if } z \neq 0, \quad (3)$$

and $(\tilde{D}_q f)(0) = f'(0)$ provided $f'(0)$ exists.

From (3), we have the deduction

$$(\tilde{D}_q f)(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}, \quad (4)$$

Where the symbol $[n]_q$ denotes the number

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

From (2) and (4), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}_q g)(w) &= \frac{g(qw) - g(q^{-1}w)}{(q - q^{-1})w} \\ &= 1 - [2]_q a_2 w + [3]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^2 - [4]_q (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Lemma. 1 [6, 22]

If the function $p \in P$ is defined by

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots$$

then

$$|p_n| \leq 2(n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

and

$$|p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}| \leq 2 - \frac{|p_1|^2}{2}.$$

Let φ be an analytic function with positive real part in U , with $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. Also, let $\varphi(U)$ be starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Then, φ has the Taylor series expansion

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \dots (B_1 > 0). \quad (6)$$

2. Main Results

Definition. 3

Let $f \in A$. Then $f \in R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi), b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ if $f \in \Sigma$,

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((D_q f)(z) - 1 \right) \right) \prec \varphi(u) \quad (7)$$

and

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((D_q g)(w) - 1 \right) \right) \prec \varphi(v) \quad (8)$$

Where $g = f^{-1}$.

2.1. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR FUNCTIONS BELONGING TO THE CLASS $R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$

Theorem 1

Let $f \in R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$ and $g = f^{-1} \in R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$. If $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2|b|}{[n]_q}; n \geq 3.$$

Proof

Let $f \in R_{\Sigma}(b, q, \varphi)$ and $\varphi \in P$, then there exists two Schwarz functions $u(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ and $v(w) = d_1w + d_2w^2 + \dots$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((D_q f)(z) - 1 \right) = \varphi(u(z)) \quad (9)$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((D_q g)(w) - 1 \right) = \varphi(v(w)) \quad (10)$$

Where

$$\varphi(u(z)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k D_n^k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) z^n \quad (11)$$

and

$$\varphi(v(w)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k D_n^k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) w^n \quad (12)$$

From (9) and (11) we have

$$\frac{[n]_q a_n}{b} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi_k D_n^k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n), n \geq 2. \quad (13)$$

From (10) and (12), we have

$$\frac{[n]_q b_n}{b} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi_k D_n^k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n), n \geq 2. \quad (14)$$

For $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, (13) and (14) respectively yield

$$\frac{[n]_q a_n}{b} = \varphi_1 c_{n-1}$$

and

$$\frac{[n]_q b_n}{b} = -\frac{[n]_q a_n}{b} = \varphi_1 d_{n-1}$$

By definition of K_n^p we have $b_n = -a_n$.

Upon simplification, we obtain

$$a_n = \frac{b}{[n]_q} \varphi_1 c_{n-1} \quad (15)$$

$$a_n = -\frac{b}{[n]_q} \varphi_1 d_{n-1} \quad (16)$$

Taking the absolute values of (15) and (16) and using the facts that $|\varphi_1| \leq 2$, $|c_{n-1}| \leq 1$ and $|d_{n-1}| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2b}{[n]_q}$$

Remark 1

If $q \rightarrow 1^-$ then the above theorem reduces to the results of Hamidi and Jahangiri [15].

Remark 2

If $b = 1$ then above theorem reduces to the results of Altinkaya and Yalcin [7] (Theorem 7 for $p=1$).

Theorem 2

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$ and $g = f^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$. Then

$$(i) \ a_2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2q \ h}{q^2 + 1}, & | \ h < \frac{q^4 + 2q^2 + 1}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} \\ \frac{2q\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{q^4 + q^2 + 1}}, & | \ h \geq \frac{q^4 + 2q^2 + 1}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \ a_3 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4q^3 \ h^2}{(q^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2q^3 \ h}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}, & | \ h < \frac{(q^2 + 1)^2}{2(q^4 + q^2 + 1)} \\ \frac{4q^3 \ h}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}, & | \ h \geq \frac{(q^2 + 1)^2}{2(q^4 + q^2 + 1)}. \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \ a_3 - \mu a_2^3 \leq \frac{2\mu q^3 \ h}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}, \mu = 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2.$$

Proof

Letting $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ in [13] and [14] respectively, we get

$$\frac{[2]_q a_2}{b} = \varphi_1 c_1 \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{[3]_q a_3}{b} = \varphi_1 c_2 + \varphi_2 c_1^2 \tag{18}$$

and

$$\frac{[2]_q b_2}{b} = \varphi_1 d_1 \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{[3]_q b_3}{b} = \varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2 \tag{20}$$

Comparing (5) with (19) and (20)

$$\frac{-[2]_q a_2}{b} = \varphi_1 d_1 \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{[3]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3)}{b} = \varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2 \tag{22}$$

Using $c_1 = -d_1$ in either of (17) and (21), we deduce

$$| a_2 | \leq \frac{2|b|}{[2]_q} = \frac{2q|b|}{q^2 + 1}$$

From (18) and (22), we get

$$\frac{2[3]_q a_2^2}{b} = \varphi_1 (c_2 + d_2) + \varphi_2 (c_1^2 + d_1^2)$$

and thus

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4|b|}{[3]_q}} = \frac{2q\sqrt{|b|}}{\sqrt{q^4 + q^2 + 1}}$$

Now the bounds for $|a_2|$ are justified since $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4|b|}{[3]_q}} = \frac{2q\sqrt{|b|}}{\sqrt{q^4 + q^2 + 1}}$ for $|b| < \frac{q^4 + 2q^2 + 1}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}$.

From (18), we get

$$|a_3| = \frac{|b|(|\varphi_1 c_2 + \varphi_2 c_1^2|)}{[3]_q} \leq \frac{4|b|}{[3]_q} = \frac{4q^2|b|}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} \quad (23)$$

On the other hand subtracting (22) from (18).

$$\frac{2[3]_q}{b}(a_3 - a_2^2) = \varphi_1(c_2 - d_2). \quad (24)$$

Solving the above equation for a_3 and taking absolute value

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4q^2|b|^2}{(q^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2q^2|b|}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} \quad (25)$$

Now, Theorem 2.2 (ii) follows from (23) and (25) upon noticing that

$$\frac{4q^2|b|^2}{(q^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2q^2|b|}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} < \frac{4|b|q^2}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} \text{ if } |b| < \frac{(q^2 + 1)^2}{2(q^4 + q^2 + 1)}$$

For the third part of the theorem, we rewrite (24) as

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{b}{2[3]_q}(\varphi_1(c_2 - d_2)) \quad (26)$$

Taking absolute values, we get

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| = \frac{|b||\varphi_1(c_2 - d_2)|}{2[3]_q} \leq \frac{2|b|q^2}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}$$

We rewrite (22) as

$$2a_2^2 - a_3 = \frac{b}{[3]_q}(\varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2) \quad (27)$$

Taking absolute values, we get

$$|a_3 - 2a_2^2| = \frac{|b|q^2}{q^4 + q^2 + 1} |\varphi_1 d_2 + \varphi_2 d_1^2| \leq \frac{4|b|q^2}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}$$

Adding (26) and (27) and taking absolute value,

$$|a_2 - \frac{3}{2}a_2^2| \leq \frac{3|b|q^2}{q^4 + q^2 + 1}.$$

2.2. FEKETE- SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR FUNCTIONS BELONGING TO THE CLASS $R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$

Theorem 3

Let f given by (1) be in the class $R_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$a_3 - \zeta a_2^2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1 h}{4[3]_q} & \text{for } 0 \leq h(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{4[3]_q} \\ 4B_1 h(\zeta) & \text{for } h(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{4[3]_q}. \end{cases}$$

where $h(\zeta) = \frac{B_1^2(1-\zeta)}{4[b[3]_q B_1^2 + [2]_q^2(B_1 - B_2)]}$

Proof

Let $f \in R_{\Sigma}(b, q, \varphi)$ and g be the analytic extension of f^{-1} to U then there exists two functions u and v , analytic in U with $u(0) = v(0) = 0$, $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$ and $z, w \in U$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{D}_q f)(z) - 1 \right) = \varphi(u(z)) \quad (28)$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{D}_q g)(w) - 1 \right) = \varphi(v(w)) \quad (29)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$.

Next, define the functions $p, q \in P$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)} = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (30)$$

$$q(z) = \frac{1+v(w)}{1-v(w)} = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + \dots \quad (31)$$

From the above definitions, one can derive

$$u(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} p_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 z^2 \right) + \dots \quad (32)$$

$$v(w) = \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} = \frac{1}{2} q_1 w + \frac{1}{2} \left(q_2 - \frac{1}{2} q_1^2 w^2 \right) + \dots \quad (33)$$

Combining (6), (28), (29), (32) and (33)

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{D}_q f)(z) - 1 \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 p_1 z + \left(\frac{1}{4} B_2 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 \right) \right) z^2 + \dots \quad (34)$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{D}_q g)(w) - 1 \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 q_1 w + \left(\frac{1}{4} B_2 q_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(q_2 - \frac{1}{2} q_1^2 \right) \right) w^2 + \dots \quad (35)$$

From (34) and (35), we deduce

$$\frac{[2]_q a_2}{b} = \frac{1}{2} B_1 p_1 \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{[3]_q a_3}{b} = \frac{1}{4} B_2 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 \right) \quad (37)$$

and

$$-\frac{[2]_q a_2}{b} = \frac{1}{2} B_1 q_1 \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{[3]_q(2a_2^2 - a_3)}{b} = \frac{1}{4}B_2q_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}B_1\left(q_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_1^2\right) \quad (39)$$

From (36) and (38), we get

$$p_1 = -q_1 \quad (40)$$

Subtracting (37) from (39) and applying (40)

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{bB_1}{4[3]_q}(p_2 - q_2) \quad (41)$$

By adding (37) to (39), we get

$$\frac{2[3]_q}{b}a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{1}{2}p_1^2(B_2 - B_1).$$

Using (36) and (38)

$$a_2^2 = \frac{bB_1^3(p_2 + q_2)}{4\left[b[3]_qB_1^2 + [2]_q^2(B_1 - B_2)\right]} \quad (42)$$

From (41) and (42), we get

$$a_3 - \zeta a_2^2 = bB_1 \left[\left(h(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4[3]_q} \right) p_2 + \left(h(\zeta) - \frac{1}{4[3]_q} \right) q_2 \right]$$

Where

$$h(\zeta) = \frac{B_1^2(1-\zeta)}{4[b[3]_qB_1^2 + [2]_q^2(B_1 - B_2)]}$$

Then, by Lemma 1 and (6)

$$|a_3 - \zeta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1 |h|}{4[3]_q} & \text{for } 0 \leq h(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{4[3]_q} \\ 4B_1 |h(\zeta)| & \text{for } h(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{4[3]_q}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1 If $f \in \mathcal{R}_\Sigma(b, q, \varphi)$ then taking $\zeta = 1$, we get

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2 |b| B_1}{4[q^4 + q^2 + 1]}. \quad (43)$$

Corollary 2 Let

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta = 1 + 2\beta z + 2\beta^2 z^2 + \dots, (0 < \beta \leq 1).$$

then from (43), we have

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2 |b| \beta}{2[q^4 + q^2 + 1]}.$$

Corollary 3 Let

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z} = 1 + 2(1-\beta)z + 2(1-\beta)z^2 + \dots, (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

then the inequality (43) reduces to

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2 |b|(1-\beta)}{2[q^4 + q^2 + 1]}.$$

3. Conclusion

We have estimated the bounds for the coefficients and also the linear functional which is popularly known as Fekete- Szegő problem, for functions belonging to the class defined in this article. We also have seen our results reducing to the results discussed in various other articles.

References

- [1] H. Airault, “Symmetric sums associated to the factorization of Grunsky coefficients,” *In Conference, Groups and symmetries, Montreal, Canada*, pp. 27-29, 2007.
- [2] H. Airault, “Remarks on Faber polynomials”, *Int. Math. Forum*, vol. 3, pp. 9-12, 2008.
- [3] H. Airault and A. Bouali, “Differential Calculus on the Faber polynomials,” *Bull. Sci. Math.*, vol. 130(3), pp. 179-222, 2006.
- [4] H. Airault and J. Ren, “An algebra of differential operators and generalized functions on the set of univalent function,” *Bull. Sci. Math.*, vol. 126(5), pp. 343-367, 2002.
- [5] H. Aldweby and M. A. Darus, “Subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with q-analogue of Dziok- Srivastava operator,” *ISRN Math. Anal.*, 2013(2013), Article ID 382312, 6 pages.
- [6] Altinkaya, and S. Yalçın, “The Fekete- Szegő problem for a general class of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions,” *Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis(SCMA)*, vol. 5(1), pp. 1-7, 2017.
- [7] Altinkaya, and S. Yalçın, “Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of bi- univalent functions based on the symmetric q-derivative operator,” *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*, vol. 8(2), pp. 79-87, 2017.
- [8] M. Aydoğan , Y. Kahramaner and Y. Polatoğlu, “Close-to-Convex Functions Defined by Fractional Operator,” *Appl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 7, pp. 2769-2775, 2013.
- [9] K. L. Brahim and Y. Sidomou, “On some symmetric q-special functions,” *Le Mat.*, vol. 68, pp. 107-122, 2013.
- [10] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, “Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis,” *Academic Press, London and New York*, 1980.
- [11] R. M. El-Ashwah, “Subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by convolution,” *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 22(3), pp. 348-351, 2014.
- [12] G. Faber, “Über polynomische Entwicklungen,” *Math. Ann.* vol 57(3), pp. 389-408, 1903.
- [13] B. A. Frasin, and M. K. Aouf, “New subclasses of bi-univalent functions,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, pp. 1569-1573, 2011.
- [14] G. Gasper, and M. Rahman, “Basic Hypergeometric Series,” *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA*, 1990.

- [15] S. G. Hamidi, and M. Jahangiri, “Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by subordinations,” *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, vol. 41(5), pp. 1103-1119, 2015.
- [16] T. Hayami and S. Owa, “Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions,” *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 22(4), pp. 15-26, 2012.
- [17] F. H. Jackson, “On q-functions and a certain difference operator,” *Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, vol. 46, pp. 253-281, 1908.
- [18] M. Lewin, “On a Coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 18, pp. 63-68, 1967.
- [19] A. Mohammed, and M. A. Darus, “generalized operator involving the q-hypergeometric function” *Mat. Vesnik.*, vol. 65, pp. 454-465, 2013.
- [20] H. E. Ozkan Ucar, “Coefficient inequalities for q-starlike functions,” *Appl. Math. Comp.*, vol. 276, pp. 122-126, 2016.
- [21] Y. Polatoglu, “Growth and distortion theorems for generalized q-starlike functions,” *Adv. Math., Sci. J.*, vol. 5, pp. 7-12, 2016.
- [22] C. Pommerenke, “Univalent Functions,” *Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Gottingen*, 1975.
- [23] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, “Fractional q -calculus and certain subclass of univalent analytic functions,” *Mathematica*, vol. 55, pp. 62-74, 2013.
- [24] G. Saravanan and K. Muthunagai, “Co-efficient estimates for the class of Bi-Quasi-Convex functions using Faber polynomials,” *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)*, vol. 102(10), pp. 2267-2276. 2017.
- [25] R. Vijaya, T. V. Sudharsan and S. Sivasubramanian, “Coefficient Estimates for Certain Subclasses of Biunivalent Functions Defined by Convolution,” *International Journal of Analysis*, Article ID 6958098,(2016),5 pages.
- [26] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui, and H. M. Srivastava, “Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25(6), pp. 990-994, 2012.