# Design of rotary inverted pendulum swinging-up and stabilizing 

Zuhair Shakor Mahmood ${ }^{1}$, Imad Burhan Kadhim ${ }^{2}$, Ali Najdet Nasret ${ }^{3}$<br>${ }^{1,2,3}$ Electronic Department, Kirkuk Technical Institute, Northern Technical University


#### Abstract

The mechanical design of inverted pendulum systems may be very diverse. This study makes use of mathematics to explain the expanded unstable Rotary planer inverted pendulum arrangement (Rotary inverted system). Pin connections are used in planer-inverted pendulums to attach the pendulum to the rotating-Rotary actuation base. This design of a Rotary -inverted pendulum is explored in the development of underactuated robotic systems because it best simulates the balance of a broomstick in the hand by treating the elbow and shoulder as revolute joints. It is necessary to utilize the Lagrangian equation of motion when creating the dynamical equation for the Rotary -inverted pendulum. MATLAB Simulink is used to simulate a nonlinear computational model of the system so as to test the accuracy of the mathematical model.
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## 1. Introduction

Because of the intricacy of its modeling, stabilization, and trajectory tracking, this nonlinear and unstable inverted pendulum poses a difficult challenge in contemporary control theory. The mechanics of balancing a pendulum while operating rocket thrusters, aviation systems, marine systems, or space vehicles may be useful for human transporter Segway's and humanoid robots alike. For mechanical engineers, the actuation technique and degree of freedom are critical when constructing an inverted pendulum (DOF). The actuation methods include rotational and linear. Both the base location and the pendulum angle may be varied with inverted pendulum systems, thus they have two degrees of freedom (DOF), systems include the cart inverted pendulum and Rotary inverted pendulum [1-2]. A pin joint is used in an inverted pendulum system to connect a swinging pendulum to a spinning cart, which is subsequently driven by the cart's motor to revolve in the horizontal plane [3-4]. To put it simply, the RIP system is composed of three basic parts: an arm, a pendulum and motor. In Rotary inverted pendulum, a pin joint that allows the pendulum to move about freely in the vertical plane is used to connect the motor shaft to one arm and the pendulum to the other arm, with the motor shaft being attached to one arm and the pendulum being connected to the other arm [5-6]. Mechanism using an inverted pendulum Design options that provide more flexibility enable the base to move in several dimensions or add more pendulum attachments to the design. When the base may move in two directions, there must be two degrees of freedom.. With this system, a horizontal plane has been obtained. It's called "(PIPS)." An inverted pendulum planer may make use of three different mechanical structures: linear-linear actuation, rotationalrotational action, or any combination of the two. Although RIP and cart inverted pendulum systems may be modeled and built, only a few articles have been published on the subject of planning inverted pendulum systems. Cart inverted pendulum system represented by the Lagrangian equation of motion in [7]. Newtonian principles were used to model an inverted cart pendulum system. This spinning inverted pendulum is known

[^0]as the Furuta pendulum, and its dynamics have been figured out in [8]. A Newton-Euler formulation and Lagrangian equation of motion were used to characterize RIP's nonlinear dynamics. The Furuta pendulum was described in detail by [9-10]. The SolidWorks software and Lagarangian equation of motion were utilized in the construction of the Furuta pendulum mechanical design. Modeling a dual-axis inverted pendulum system with actuator dynamics based on energy conservation was done by [11-16]. For the first time, a robot with just two degrees of freedom has been created by researchers [12]. Was the publication in which they detailed their results. Decoupled or weakly coupled connections were used to attach the pendulum to a SCARA robot. [1314] used linear and nonlinear control methods to keep an inverted pendulum in a vertically ascending position. [15-17] Describes how to remove the Furuta pendulum's limit cycle. An examination of the literature found that only a small number of writers have attempted to simulate the planer-inverted pendulum. As an alternative to prior techniques that employed decoupled links to bind the pendulum to its rotary-planer actuation base, this work proposes nonlinear computational modeling of a Rotary planer inverted pendulum system using a pin joint (Rotary inverted pendulum system). This setup of a planer with an inverted pendulum is called an inverted pendulum configuration is considered in the research of underactuated robotic systems because it best simulates the balance of a broomstick in the hand by viewing the elbow and shoulder as revolute joints. The Lagrangian mathematical expression is employed in the construction of the model. Numerical simulation of the system's nonlinear model in MATLAB Simulink have been checked the validates of mathematical model [18-19].

## 2. Structure of the rotary inverted pendulum system

This figure 1 depicts an inverted pendulum that was constructed using a Rotary planer. Arm 1, Arm 2, 3 Pendulum make up the basic structural components. D.C. motor's shaft is connected to arm one, which may move horizontally. In order to produce torque for rotation in the horizontal plane, the revolute joint connecting the first and second arms may be driven by a servo motor. A pin joint connects arm 2 to the pendulum, which may swing freely in the vertical plane. There are three angles shown in Figure 2, one for each arm. The angle $q_{1}$ depicts the angle between the first and second arms as measured from an arbitrarily chosen point. The angle $q_{2}$ depicts that between second and first arms. The pendulum position is represented by $q_{3}$. There are no negative angular displacements in a clockwise direction. $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ are the mass numbers associated with the first two arms and the pendulum. The two arms are the longest part, followed by the pendulum with an $L_{2}$ and an $L_{3}$ measurement. The lengths of the first and second arms, as well as the pendulum, are $I_{1}, I_{2}$, and $I_{3}$ respectively, measured from the points where the arms and pendulum rotate.


Figure 1. Inverted Pendulum Rotary.


Figure 2. Torque applications and relative joint angle movements are used in the Rotary -inverted pendulum

Using electric motors, torque one and torque two may be applied to arms 1 and 2 . When arm 2 is not activated, the pendulum is free to swing in whatever direction it chooses. The inertia tensors of the first and second arms are 11,12 , and 13 , respectively (around the mass center). The joint's viscous damping coefficients are $\mathrm{b} 1, \mathrm{~b} 2$, and b 3 .

## 3. Rotary inverted pendulums Lagrangian formulation

Because it is a three (DOF) system, the inverted Rotary pendulum is subject to three different sets of Lagrangian equations of motion.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{~L}}{a \dot{q}_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathrm{L}}{a q_{1}}=\tau_{1}-b_{1} \dot{q}_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{~L}}{a \dot{q}_{2}}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathrm{L}}{a q_{2}}=\tau_{2}-b_{2} \dot{q}_{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{~L}}{a \dot{q}_{3}}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathrm{L}}{a q_{3}}=-b_{3} \dot{q}_{3} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the difference between kinetic and potential energy, and where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian of system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=E_{k}-E_{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kinetic energy in an inverted pendulum system equals $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 3}$, whereas potential energy equals $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Potential energy equals the sum of all three energies: kinetic $E_{k}$ and potential $E_{p}$. The kinetic energy is equal to all three energies combined: two arms, a pendulum, and their combined potential energy $E_{p}$ is equal to all three energies combined. It is assumed that since Arms 1 and 2 move on a horizontal plane, their potential energies are zero.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p 1}=E_{p 2}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As illustrated in Figure 3, the pendulum's potential energy allows us to compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p 3}=m_{3} g l_{3}\left(\cos \left(q_{3}\right)-1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that arm 1 and arm 2 have equal potential energy, then arm 1's total potential energy is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=E_{p 1}+E_{p 2}+E_{p 3} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arm 1's kinetic energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k 1}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} v_{1}^{T} v_{1}+\frac{1}{2} J_{1} \dot{q}_{1}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the study's kinematics will need to be examined in order to be comprehensive. This may be seen in Figure 3 where the center of mass of Arm 1 depends on.

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{1}=\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right]^{T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3(a) shows a free body diagram that defines, and as follows:
$x_{1}=l_{1} \cos \left(q_{1}\right), y_{1}=l_{1} \sin \left(q_{1}\right), z_{1}=0$
In other words, we may say that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=\left[\dot{x}_{1}, \dot{y}_{1}, \dot{z}_{1}\right]^{T} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Being
$\dot{x}_{1}=-\dot{q}_{1} l_{1} \sin \left(q_{1}\right), \dot{y}_{1}=\dot{q}_{1} l_{1} \cos \left(q_{1}\right), \dot{z}_{1}=0$
Here is what happens when equation (10) is used in place of equation (8), and then the expression is rearranged.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k 1}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} l_{1}^{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} J_{1} \dot{q}_{1}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. An inverted pendulum's free body Rotary diagram (a) horizontal projection assumption (b) vertical projectile assumption

To calculate the kinetic energy of the second arm and the pendulum, use and, which are indicated in the same manner that was. These are the words that were used to describe them:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{k 2}=\frac{1}{2} m_{2}\left[L_{1}^{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2}+2 L_{1} l_{2} \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) \cos \left(\dot{q}_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} J_{2}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{12}\\
E_{k 3}=\frac{1}{2} J_{3} \dot{q}_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
L_{1}^{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+l_{3}^{2} \dot{q}_{3}^{2}+\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2} l_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(q_{3}\right)+\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2} L_{2}^{2} \\
-2 \dot{q}_{3}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) l_{3} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right)-2 \dot{q}_{1} \dot{q}_{3} \cos \left(q_{3}\right) \\
+2 \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right)+2 \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) L_{1} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Adding the kinetic energies of the first and second arms, as well as the pendulum, yields the system's total kinetic energy.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=E_{k 1}+E_{k 2}+E_{k 3} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) may be written as follows by substituting the preceding terms kinetic and potential energy values and lowering the resultant expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}\left[J_{1}+m_{1} l_{1}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} J_{2}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
L_{1}^{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2} \\
+2 L_{1} l_{2} \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) \cos \left(\dot{q}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} J_{3} \dot{q}_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
L_{1}^{2} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+l_{3} \dot{q}_{3}^{2} 1+\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(q_{3}\right)+\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right)^{2} L_{2}^{2} \\
-2 \dot{q}_{3}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) l_{3} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{3}\right)-2 \dot{q}_{1} \dot{q}_{3} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \cos \left(q_{3}\right) \\
+2 \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \\
+2 \dot{q}_{1}\left(\dot{q}_{1}+\dot{q}_{2}\right) L_{1} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]-m_{3} g l_{3}\left(\cos \left(q_{3}\right)-1\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Euler-Lagrange terms are evaluated and substituted in equations 1 through 3 to get these sets of simultaneous differential equations:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(M_{11} \ddot{q}_{1}+M_{12} \ddot{q}_{2}+M_{13} \ddot{q}_{3}+C_{11} \dot{q}_{1}+C_{12} \dot{q}_{2}+C_{13} \dot{q}_{3}+G_{1}+F_{v 1}\right)  \tag{16}\\
\left(M_{21} \ddot{1}_{1}+M_{22} \ddot{q}_{2}+M_{23} \ddot{3}_{3}+C_{21} \dot{q}_{1}+C_{22} \dot{q}_{2}+C_{23} \dot{q}_{3}+G_{2}+F_{v 2}\right) \\
\left(M_{31} \ddot{q}_{1}+M_{32} \ddot{q}_{2}+M_{33} \ddot{q}_{3}+C_{31} \dot{q}_{1}+C_{32} \dot{q}_{2}+C_{33} \dot{q}_{3}+G_{3}+F_{v 3}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{1} \\
\tau_{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{11}=J_{1}+J_{2}+m_{1} l_{1}^{2}+m_{2} L_{1}^{2}+m_{2} l_{2}^{2}+m_{3} L_{1}^{2}+m_{3} L_{2}^{2}+2 m_{2} L_{1} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right)+m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(q_{3}\right) \\
& +2 m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right)+2 m_{3} L_{1} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& M_{12}=J_{2}+m_{2} l_{2}^{2}+m_{3} L_{2}^{2}+m_{2} L_{1} l_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right)+m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right)+m_{3} L_{1} L_{2} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \\
& +m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(q_{3}\right) \\
& M_{13}=-m_{3} L_{2} l_{3} \cos \left(q_{3}\right)-m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \cos \left(q_{3}\right), M_{21}=M_{12}, \\
& M_{22}=J_{2}+m_{2} l_{2}^{2}+m_{3} L_{2}^{2}+m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(q_{3}\right), \\
& M_{23}=-m_{3} L_{2} l_{3} \cos \left(q_{3}\right), \\
& M_{31}=M_{13}, M_{32}=M_{23}, M_{33}=J_{3}+m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \\
& C_{11}=-2 \dot{q}_{2} m_{2} L_{1} l_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right)+\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{2}\right)+2 \dot{q}_{2} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \\
& +2 \dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \cos \left(q_{3}\right)-2 \dot{q}_{2} m_{3} L_{1} L_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& C_{12}=-\dot{q}_{2} m_{2} L_{1} l_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right)+\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{3}\right)-2 \dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \cos \left(q_{3}\right) \\
& +\dot{q}_{2} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \sin \left(q_{3}\right)-\dot{q}_{2} m_{3} L_{1} L_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& C_{13}=\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} L_{2} l_{3} \sin \left(q_{3}\right)+\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \cos \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& C_{21}=-3 \dot{q}_{2} m_{2} L_{1} l_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right)+\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{3}\right)-2 \dot{q}_{1} m_{2} L_{1} l_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right) \\
& -\dot{q}_{1} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \cos \left(q_{2}\right)+\dot{q}_{1} m_{3} L_{1} L_{2} \sin \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& C_{22}=\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{3}\right), C_{23}=\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3} L_{2} \sin \left(q_{3}\right), \\
& C_{31}=\dot{q}_{3} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \cos \left(q_{2}\right) \cos \left(q_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{1} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{3}\right)+\dot{q}_{2} m_{3} l_{3}^{2} \sin \left(2 q_{2}\right) \\
& -\dot{q}_{1} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \cos \left(q_{2}\right)-\dot{q}_{1} m_{3} l_{3} L_{1} \sin \left(q_{3}\right) \sin \left(q_{2}\right), \\
& G_{1}=0, G_{2}=0, G_{3}=-m_{3} g l_{3} \sin \left(q_{3}\right), F_{v 1}=b_{1} \dot{q}_{1}, F_{v 2}=b_{2} \dot{q}_{2}, F_{v 3}=b_{3} \dot{q}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Hypothesis for an inverted pendulum rotary simulation

The Rotary inverted pendulum system's nonlinear dynamical equation (16) was modelled in MATLAB. Key parameters such as $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $q_{3}$ will be estimated using an inverted pendulum simulation, and the results will be utilized to better understand the system's behavior. Table1 shows the assumed and actual values for the Rotary -inverted pendulum's parameters based on the fundamental (RIP) system features. It is not uncommon for labs to have this experimental set-up available to them.

Table 1. Parameters for the Rotary inverted pendulum system numerical

| Symbol | Value | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g$ | 9.81 | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| $b_{1}$ | 0.0002 | Nms |
| $b_{2}$ | 0.00017 | Nms |
| $b_{3}$ | 0.00027 | Nms |
| $L_{1}$ | 0.1318 | $M$ |
| $L_{2}$ | 0.09312 | $M$ |


| Symbol | Value | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{3}$ | 0.49 | $M$ |
| $l_{1}$ | $L_{1} / 2$ | $M$ |
| $l_{2}$ | $L_{2} / 2$ | $M$ |
| $l_{3}$ | $L_{3} / 2$ | $M$ |
| $m_{1}$ | 0.059 | Kg |
| $m_{2}$ | 0.03946 | Kg |
| $m_{3}$ | 0.041 | Kg |
| $J_{1}$ | $1.076 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $J_{2}$ | $3.0381 \times 10^{-5}$ | $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $J_{3}$ | $9.9561 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |







Figure 4. Inverted pendulum system with rotary-rotary-planer response
Using the numerical simulation, the pendulum is shown in Figure 4 beginning at the 0 radian line, which is vertically upward. $\tau_{1}=0.15 \mathrm{Nm}$ and $\tau_{2}=0.13 \mathrm{Nm}$ is applied for one second to actuating arm 1 and then for another second to actuating arm 2 . The pendulum will swing from its vertically upright position at a radius of
around 1.57 percent of its initial length if you put strain on the arms. An in-depth look at the simulation's results can be seen in Figure 4. Draw a picture of the spinning arms 1 and 2 of the system. Torque is given to the first and second arms, and their motion is shown. A radian angle of about 1.57 can be seen in Image 4, since the pendulum has no stabilizing mechanism to prevent it from straying off its unstable vertical equilibrium position

## 5. Conclusion

A rotary planer system with an inverted pendulum is modeled and simulated in detail in this analysis by beginning with the Lagrangian equation of motion and created computational models. Geometrical projections in the horizontal and vertical planes were supplied to depict the location of the pendulum and arms in computational model. the MATLAB-Simulink have been used to nonlinear model and simulacrated Because the location of the planer inverted pendulum system matches that of the Rotary inverted pendulum system described by the computational model for the Rotary inverted pendulum system provided in this work will succeed.
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